

1 THOMAS F. CASEY III, COUNTY COUNSEL (SBN 47562)  
Carol L. Woodward, Deputy (SBN 84197)  
2 Timothy Fox, Deputy (SBN 190084)  
Hall of Justice and Records  
3 400 County Center, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Redwood City, CA 94063  
4 Telephone: (650) 363-4762  
Fax: (650) 363-4034  
5 E-mail: tfox@co.sanmateo.ca.us

6 Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 ESTATE OF FERNANDO CAZARES; MARIA  
CABALLERO,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 vs.  
14

15 SAN MATEO COUNTY; MARK CODY;  
LISANDRO LOPEZ; GREG PITLOCK; and DOES 1  
through 25,

16 Defendants.  
17

Case No. C-06-1944 MJJ

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER  
RE: CONTINUANCE OF STATUS  
CONFERENCE**

1 This matter is presently set for a further Status Conference on March 27, 2007 at 2:00 p.m.

2 Counsel for plaintiffs is obligated to appear before the San Mateo County Superior Court on the  
3 same date (and potentially at the same time) for the hearings of several dispositive motions in a complex  
4 multi-party case, *Qmect, Inc. v. Judson*, CIV 426631, with an April 23<sup>rd</sup> trial date and a two-week  
5 estimate.

6 Counsel for plaintiff is also set for a jury trial in *Escobedo v. City of Redwood City*, N.D. Cal.  
7 Case No. C-03-3204, for April 2, 2007 before the Honorable Charles R. Breyer.

8 Accordingly, plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court continue the Further Status Conference  
9 to a date and time at the convenience of the Court.

10 Counsel for the defendants do not oppose a continuance, and join in the request.

11 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

12 DATED: March 22, 2007

THOMAS F. CASEY III, COUNTY COUNSEL

13  
14 By: /s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
TIMOTHY FOX, Deputy

15 Attorneys for Defendant  
16 COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

17  
18 DATED: March 22, 2007

LAW OFFICES OF MARK MARTEL

19  
20 By: /s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
MARK MARTEL

21 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

22  
23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATED:

25  
26 \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. MARTIN J. JENKINS  
27 United States District Judge  
28

1 This matter is presently set for a further Status Conference on March 27, 2007 at 2:00 p.m.

2 Counsel for plaintiffs is obligated to appear before the San Mateo County Superior Court on the  
3 same date (and potentially at the same time) for the hearings of several dispositive motions in a complex  
4 multi-party case, *Qmect, Inc. v. Judson*, CIV 426631, with an April 23<sup>rd</sup> trial date and a two-week  
5 estimate.

6 Counsel for plaintiff is also set for a jury trial in *Escobedo v. City of Redwood City*, N.D. Cal.  
7 Case No. C-03-3204, for April 2, 2007 before the Honorable Charles R. Breyer.

8 Accordingly, plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court continue the Further Status Conference  
9 to a date and time at the convenience of the Court.

10 Counsel for the defendants do not oppose a continuance, and join in the request.

11 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

12 DATED: March 22, 2007

THOMAS F. CASEY III, COUNTY COUNSEL

13  
14 By: /s/

  
TIMOTHY FOX, Deputy

15  
16 Attorneys for Defendant  
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

17  
18 DATED: March 22, 2007

LAW OFFICES OF MARK MARTEL

19  
20 By: /s/

MARK MARTEL

21 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

22  
23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATED:

25  
26 Hon. MARTIN J. JENKINS  
27 United States District Judge  
28

1 This matter is presently set for a further Status Conference on March 27, 2007 at 2:00 p.m.

2 Counsel for plaintiffs is obligated to appear before the San Mateo County Superior Court on the  
3 same date (and potentially at the same time) for the hearings of several dispositive motions in a complex  
4 multi-party case, *Qmect, Inc. v. Judson*, CIV 426631, with an April 23<sup>rd</sup> trial date and a two-week  
5 estimate.

6 Counsel for plaintiff is also set for a jury trial in *Escobedo v. City of Redwood City*, N.D. Cal.  
7 Case No. C-03-3204, for April 2, 2007 before the Honorable Charles R. Breyer.

8 Accordingly, plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court continue the Further Status Conference  
9 to a date and time at the convenience of the Court. MAY 22, 2007 AT 2:00 P.M.

10 Counsel for the defendants do not oppose a continuance, and join in the request.

11 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

12 DATED: March 23, 2007

THOMAS F. CASEY III, COUNTY COUNSEL

13  
14 By: /s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
TIMOTHY FOX, Deputy

15 Attorneys for Defendant  
16 COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

17  
18 DATED: March 23, 2007

LAW OFFICES OF MARK MARTEL

19  
20 By: /s/ MARK MARTEL  
MARK MARTEL

21 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

22  
23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATED: 3/26/2007

25 Martin J. Jenkins  
26 Hon. MARTIN J. JENKINS  
27 United States District Judge  
28